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ABSTRACT

An appropriate understanding of the source code is one of the necessary steps for resolving errors and improving code and design. Two fundamental aspects in Object-Oriented Programs are program elements including classes and packages, and the relations among them. In this paper, a multi-step approach has been presented and implemented for recovering and displaying main elements of an Object-Oriented Program including classes, packages, and the relations among them. This approach has been done through three steps; first, the set of classes, packages, and the relations among them are obtained automatically from the program source code. Second, a code is injected to the program source code to register the information needed during runtime. Finally, the information obtained from previous steps is displayed. The results of this approach can be used for automatic documentation, teaching programming, better understanding and evaluating Object-Oriented Programs, reverse engineering methods for detecting program strengths and weaknesses.

1. INTRODUCTION

Software is usually complex and intangible. It is said that graphical visualization can convey information better and even faster than numerical data. Program visualization is used to reduce source-code complexity for better understanding [1], [2]. A crucial step for correcting program errors and improving program design and code is to have a good understanding of the program source-code [3]. Researches have revealed that a good comprehension of the source-code is an essential issue in developing and maintaining software systems [4]. Regarding the importance of this, numerous researches, techniques, and tools have been developed [5], [6], [7], [8]. One available software named, Rigi, is a tool that focuses on the complexity of large systems through visual demonstration by graphs [9]. Code Crawler is a context-free environment, used to visualize the program via Object-Oriented Program graph, providing simple metrics about the program [10], [11]. Creole is an Eclipse plug-in that displays code using grid, radial, and tree map techniques [12]. Source Navigator [13] is a tool for analysing and editing source-code and displaying classes and their relationships in form of a tree. Source Viewer 3D [14] is yet another tool that displays the source-code in 3D and has been developed following the implementation of SeeSoft [15].

In order to show source-code components and the relations among them, most of the above mentioned tools benefit from graph-based visualization. Knowing the fact that reading an object-oriented code is much more complicated than reading a structured code [16], the main focus of this work is on object-oriented source-code; however, this work can be also used for structured source-code cases, of course, with
some modifications. By examining Object-Oriented Programs, one can understand the two important components of Object-Oriented Programs: program components, and the relations among them. Knowing the specifications of methods, classes, and packages is important in understanding and modifying the program; however, understanding the relations among classes and packages for complex systems is more important [5], [17] due to the fact that the complexity of the system is created based on these relations. In this paper, a three-step method has been presented and implemented in order to recover and demonstrate the main components of an Object-Oriented Program, including classes, packages, and the relations among them. At the first step, the set of classes, packages, and the relations among them will be automatically acquired from the program source-code. In the next step, a code segment will be injected to the program source-code to register the required data; whereas in the third step the information obtained from the previous steps will be displayed. The results of this method can be used in automatic documentation, learning programming, better understanding and evaluation of Object-Oriented Programs, as well as reverse engineering in order to identify the program weaknesses and strengths.

2. OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAM SOURCE-CODE ANALYSIS

In this level, program source code is read and analyzed based on a special algorithm and the result of this work which is finding classes and packages and the relations among them, is stored in a database. It has been tried to maintain the runtime of this level in an acceptable limit.

2.1. Obtaining Classes and Packages

As the suggested method is suitable for displaying classes and packages, only these two components are extracted. In large programs, displaying other components including methods could be very difficult. We define an Object-Oriented Program as below:

An Object-Oriented Program is a set of packages and classes where each package contains specifications such as name and level. Level of a package is mentioned because packages are nested. For the first level, number one is assigned, and for packages in levels two and more, parent package is considered the one that contains the child package. Each class has specifications such as name and in case of existence, name of the class it has been inherited from, as well as the name of its containing package. According to the above definition, full name of a package or a class along with the name of its parent can be written from left to right using period ".". This way of writing is commonly used in most Object-Oriented Programming languages.

2.2. Finding the Relations among Classes

Fundamental relations among classes are related to a class being used by another one that can occur for the following reasons. If $C_i$ and $C_j$ are two separate classes, then $C_i$ uses $C_j$ if:

- $C_i$ contains an attribute of $C_j$ type.
- A method of $C_i$ has an input argument of $C_j$ type.
- The returned value type of $C_i$ is of $C_j$ type.
- Using $C_j$ static method(s) in $C_i$
- $C_i$ is inherited from $C_j$

From now on, we call classes such as $C_j$ that use other classes, as client classes and call classes which are being used, as provider classes.

2.3. Finding the Relations among Packages

Package $P_i$ uses package $P_j$ if at least a class such as $C_i$ exists in $P_i$ that uses $C_j$ class in $P_j$. From now on, we call packages such as $C_j$ that use other packages and packages which are being used, client and provider packages respectively.

3. INJECTION CODE TO THE PROGRAM

The purpose of this stage is to inject a code to a method so that during method execution time, two types of information will be registered; the time consumed by a method and the number of times a method is called during a single program execution. Having such information provides numerous advantages, e.g. during the process of testing the methods, where methods with long execution time are specified. By enhancing the execution time of methods, the overall program will be improved.
4. PROPOSED DISPLAY PATTERN

In order to explain the presented solution, packages and classes of a hypothetical program are shown in figure 1. (a) In this figure, directional lines display the relation among classes, from client to provider. The relation among packages is obtained from the relation among classes in table 1.

In order to display classes and packages, a special pattern is proposed in a way that for all display components, client component is drawn at the left while the provider is drawn at the right, both from top to down. A horizontal line among the client and the provider shows the relation among them. As a result, the created display is a tree that is seen 2D, from left to right and top to down.

![Diagram of classes and packages](image)

Figure 1. (a) Relations among classes and packages in a hypothetical program; (b) The relation among packages in the first level

![Diagram of package relations](image)

Figure 2. Expansion of the relation among packages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation Between Classes</th>
<th>Relation Between Packages</th>
<th>Relation Between Packages in the First Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 → C2</td>
<td>P1 → P1</td>
<td>P1 → P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 → C4</td>
<td>P1 → P1.P3</td>
<td>P1 → P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 → C3</td>
<td>P1 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P1 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 → C8</td>
<td>P1 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P1 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 → C5</td>
<td>P1.P3 → P2.P4</td>
<td>P1 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 → C7</td>
<td>P1.P3 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P1 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6 → C9</td>
<td>P2.P5 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P2 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 → C7</td>
<td>P2.P5 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P2 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9 → C7</td>
<td>P2.P4 → P2.P5</td>
<td>P2 → P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 → C7</td>
<td>P2.P5 → P2.P4</td>
<td>P2 → P2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the proposed method with regard to the proposed display:

- Displaying area can be extended both horizontally and vertically. By providing this capability, compatibility of display with larger programs will be achieved.
- The placement of components in this case prevents the joining lines from crossing each other.
- In this display, the order of calls is not important because in a large display relations are of more importance than their order.
- The relations are shown from top to down. This means that at the beginning, the first level packages are displayed (figure 1 (b)). By choosing the desired package, the user can then see the relation among next level packages that are related to the selected package.
Sometimes the relations among the components may create a loop like relations P1.P5 and P1.P4. In this case, the component that creates the loop could be displayed in gray color. This makes loops detectable in the display and maintains display collectivity.

It is probable for a component to have a relation with itself, meaning that it may have internal relations. In these cases, the rectangular border can be displayed bolder.

In order to improve display, the user can determine settings such as size and type of font used to write text, rectangle size, and distance among rectangles. We include these items in the desired display parameters.

5. INTERACTION WITH PROGRAM DISPLAY

In this method, the user is able to interact with the display, leading to a better understanding of the display and categorization of display components. In this section, interaction methods are introduced as well as the proposed interaction to abbreviate the display.

5.1. Hiding Components

In order to improve display quality, sometimes it is necessary to abbreviate the display. If B is a provider component for A and we would like to hide it, we can omit the display distance among A and B. For example, if we would like to hide package P1.P3 from figure 2, figure 3. (a) Will be obtained.

5.2. Displaying Components Separately

Another abbreviation method is to display different parts of the image separately. In this case, one or more components could be chosen to be displayed in another page. For example, if we would like to show package P1.P3 separately from figure 2, figure 3. (b) Will be obtained.

5.3. Pruning

Although hiding component is a useful solution, however, in hiding methods, display height does not change and only display width decreases. Pruning can be used to abbreviate the display and reducing display height. This is done in a way that for all chosen components, all providers are omitted and only a number showing the number of providers is displayed. For example, if we show package P1.P3 with pruning, figure 4. (a) Will be obtained.

5.4. Deleting a Relation

The extension of the program display size is due to the existence of relations among components. Thus one way to abbreviate the display is to delete relations in the display. For example, if we delete the relations among providers of P1, figure 4. (b) Can be obtained.

6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to evaluate the proposed method, a tool called PCVis was implemented using C# programming language. As an example, we examined an Object-Oriented Program called CheckMate [18]
that has been developed in C# (figure 5). Similar results can be obtained using for other open source Object-Oriented Programs. However, these results could be obtained using other programming languages as well.

Figure 5. Implementing relations among classes of CheckMate via PCVis tool

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a multi-step approach was implemented for displaying Object-Oriented Programs. This approach may result in recovery of the main components of the program including classes and packages and the relations among them. A graphical representation of the program is then presented based on a special pattern. The main advantages of the implemented approach over similar solutions include generality, being relatively automatic, and expandability, because the only steps that depend on the programming language are the first and second steps. The desired approach could be applied to all Object-Oriented Programs, because it extracts relations that are common in all Object-Oriented Programming languages. Moreover, because program size is not considered a constraint in using this could be applied to large Object-Oriented Programs as well. Another benefit of this approach is that a vivid and disciplined display of the program is provided and can be used with the rest of program documents.
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