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ABSTRACT

Smartphones/mobile devices are enduring all the aspects of human life. With the significant increase in demand for applications running on smartphones/mobile devices, developers and testers are anticipated to deliver high quality, on time and within budget applications. The estimation of development and testing provides a baseline and act as a tracking gear for stakeholders and developers. There are various approaches for estimation of traditional software development. But mobile applications are considered different from traditional software such as those running on desktop, laptop or on the web. Many traditional estimation techniques used for this software are adapted to mobile domain. With agile software development (ASD) methodology, the scenario of development and estimation has changed drastically and so as mobile app development and estimation. This paper provides a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) on traditional estimation techniques and agile estimation techniques applied in mobile software/application. Also, effort attributes and accuracy parameters for estimation in mobile apps are presented. However, to date, there are very fewer studies done on the mobile application estimation domain using agile methodology.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Background: - Because of popularity and ease of use of mobile devices has become the most indispensable expedient for human essentials from past few years [1]. Mobile software developers’ are driven to release software on time and within budget. For this purpose software estimation plays a very pivotal role in providing the most accurate sizing figure for building confidence in developers and stakeholders relationship [2]. Accuracy in estimation is a crucial factor for planning software development to evade budget overruns and tardy delivery of software. Estimation of test effort along with development is considered crucial for apprehending quality software [3]. Development of mobile software is considered different from developing traditional software pertaining to its distinctive features such as limited memory, processing power, small and multiple input interface, multiple connections, bandwidth factor, a lower battery, etc. [4-7].

With the advent of Agile Software Development (ASD) [8-9], entire software development community has been driven to the adoption of agile methodology. The Agile espousal to mobile application development is considered as a natural fit by many researchers [10-14]. The effort estimation of software development in an agile environment is also different from traditional software estimation [8]. Effort estimation is more exigent in an agile because of employing dynamic changes in requirements [15]. There are many estimation techniques for traditional software estimation in an agile environment such as planning poker, Expert judgment, Use Case Points (UCP) Method, etc. [8, 16].
Mobile applications are different from traditional software [4-7] and agile approach to mobile application development and estimation needs a solid methodological approach for predicting effort.

The Problem: - The approaches used for estimation of traditional software are adapted for mobile application development. But presently, not much work has been dedicated to identifying suitable approaches exclusively for effort estimation of mobile apps [17]. The estimation techniques in agile software development for traditional software cannot be adapted to mobile domain pertaining to different characteristics of mobile apps. However, there is very less literature available on the estimation of mobile apps in an agile environment

Proposed solution: - This paper contributes mainly by examining the state-of-art of techniques applied for estimation of mobile software/applications in traditional software development and agile software development by using Systematic Literature Review (SLR). SLR will form baselines for mobile app developers for the selection of appropriate estimation method according to their need. This will also help researchers in filling the gap by proposing formal estimation models for mobile apps considering its specific characteristics.

The rest of this paper is ordered as follows: Section 2 presents the Research method used for conducting the Systematic Literature Review (SLR). Section 3 presents the results of SLR and some discussions on selected studies and finally, Section 4 concludes the paper with future directions.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The goal of this study is to gain an understanding of the current state-of-art in mobile app development effort estimation. To this end, an explorative study is conducted using the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) succeeding the guidelines postulated by Kitchenhan and Charters [18]. SLR is a research method for carrying out a literature review in a systematic way of charting well-defined phases. SLR method uses three phases for performing literature review including Planning and specifying research questions as a first phase, the second phase is conducting the review that comprises an identification of search string & data sources, selecting studies & data extraction and the third one being results reporting.

2.1. Planning Phase

For the smooth conduct of systematic literature review, proper planning is necessary. The research questions derive from the entire systematic literature review planning phase.

2.1.1. Research Questions (Rqs)

Affirming the research questions is the vital part of any systematic review. In accordance with guidelines proposed by Petticrew and Roberts [19], the criteria to frame research questions are based on PICOC (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Context). If the research question is not outlined properly, the literature review may turn out off the course. For this study PICOC are defined as:-

Population: Mobile Application projects
Intervention: Effort estimation techniques/methods/process
Comparison: Traditional mobile app effort estimation techniques with an agile mobile app estimation.
Outcomes: Estimation models to follow for mobile app development in agile and traditional software development.
Context: Review the existing studies on estimation of mobile apps.

The research questions steer the entire systematic review methodology. The major objective of this finding is to answer the following research question:-

RQ1. What are the traditional effort estimation techniques used for mobile software/application development and testing?
RQ2. What is currently known effort estimation techniques followed in agile mobile software/application development and testing?
RQ3. What are the estimation attributes and accuracy parameters used in estimation techniques for mobile application?

2.2. Conducting the Review Phase

2.2.1 Search Strategy

The aim of directing search strategy is to identify studies pertaining to answer the RQs. Further, search strategy can be conducted in three phases: Identifying keywords and Defining Search strings, Identifying the data sources and Search Process in Data sources.
**a) Identifying keywords and Defining Search Strings**

The first phase comprises forming the search string. The search strategy is set up to describe search strings and primary data sources. The guidelines provided by [18] are followed to define the search string by analyzing the main keywords in RQs, synonyms of the keywords and on any other spellings of the words. The identified keywords are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Synonymous Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>Software, project, system, application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort</td>
<td>Cost, resource, size, metric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation</td>
<td>Estimating, estimate, prediction, predicting, predict, assessment, forecasting, forecast, calculation, calculate, calculating, sizing, measure, measuring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Application</td>
<td>Mobile software, Mobile Apps, Mobile project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Improvement, Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>Test, verification, validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agile Method</td>
<td>Scrum, XP, lean, crystal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Process, techniques, models, approaches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the identified keywords, the search string is obtained by joining synonymous terms using the logical ‘OR’, other keywords using logical ‘AND’ and wildcard character (‘*’). Here wildcard character represents 0, 1, or any number of alphanumeric characters. The following search string is obtained:

(“Mobile Application” OR “Mobile software” OR “Mobile App” OR “Mobile project”) AND (“Develop*”) AND (“estimate*” OR “predict*” OR “assessment” OR “forecast*” OR “calculate*” OR “sizing” OR “measure*”) AND (“Improvement” OR “Progress” AND “Process” OR “techniques” OR “models” OR “approaches”) AND (“agile” OR “scrum” OR “XP” OR “lean” OR “crystal”)

**b) Data sources**

The digital databases that are used to search the keywords are SpringerLink, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, Elsevier Science Direct, Wiley InterScience and Google Scholar.

**c) Search Process in Data sources**

The next phase is to apply the search string to chosen electronic data sources to find all the entailed studies. This phase is divided into two sub-phases: primary and secondary search phase. In Primary Search Phase, the electronic data sources identified are searched based on the search string defined earlier. The results from data sources are monitored to include search string in title and abstracts. The search string is again refined each time to check the outcome and analyzed for better results. The aim of this maiden check is to evaluate the efficacy of the search string. Additionally, results are restricted to peer-reviewed conference papers and journal articles which are available between 2008 and 2018 (last decade). The duplicate titles and abstracts are removed. In the secondary search phase, a technique called snowball tracking is used for studying all the references of primary studies to exploit further studies and increase the chances of inclusion of important papers in the systematic literature review. Table 2 lists the refined results from data sources after primary and secondary search phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Sources</th>
<th>Relevant Search Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SpringerLink</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE Xplore</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACM Digital Library</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsevier Science Direct</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Scholar</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiley InterScience</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.2.2 Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria for Selecting Studies**

The results acquired through search string defined previously in the electronic databases are analyzed according to the Inclusion/Exclusion criteria. The evaluation of the papers is done by reading the title and abstract first and checked if it is related to the issues addressed in RQs. Then the decision is made for its acceptance for reading the whole paper or is rejected therein. The inclusion criteria:
1. Studies that include estimation methods of mobile application development AND;
2. Described in English AND;
3. Peer-reviewed papers are selected.

The exclusion criteria:
1. Studies having mobile application development process and not are not considering estimation OR;
2. Not described in English OR;
3. Not peer reviewed

2.2.3 Quality Assessment and Data Extraction
To evaluate the quality of shortlisted studies; 6 questions are prepared to be answered for each selected study. The question can be answered as ‘Y’, ‘M’ or ‘N’. The scores for ‘Y’ = 1, ‘P’ = 0.5, and ‘N’ = 0. The questionnaire is developed by using the guidelines defined by Kitcheham and Charters [18]. The quality score for each paper should be minimum 3 to be further included in the study.

Following are the questions in the questionnaire:
1. Are the research motives clearly stated?
2. Was the study designed to achieve the aims?
3. Are the estimation techniques well defined?
4. Is the research process documented adequately?
5. Are all research questions answered adequately?
6. Are the main findings stated clearly in terms of creditability, validity, and reliability?

The authors carried out a quality assessment for the all selected studies. Four studies are excluded owned by their low-quality score. The data extraction phase involves extraction of data of the final selected studies that address the peculiarities of RQ. The data extraction for all conclusive selected studies is done in an MS Excel sheet containing: - Paper name, year of publication, author’s name, and paper URL.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The results of the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) and answers for Research Questions (RQs) are presented in this section. Table 3 describes the studies that are selected after full screening and passing quality assessment criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Reference ID</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Reference ID</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Reference ID</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.1. Traditional Techniques for Estimating Mobile Application Development: RQ1
Sixteen studies out of twenty-one selected studies investigated the traditional estimation techniques for mobile applications. Table 4 lists the identified techniques where an agile approach is not followed for development of mobile apps. The techniques are broadly classified into three categories [20] i.e. Algorithmic-based models, Expert Judgment based models and analogy based models. COSMIC Function Size Measurement [21-28] is frequently used for estimation technique which is used to measure the functional size of the mobile app. Other types of estimation techniques identified are Function Point Analysis [29, 30] and Use Case Point [31] which is algorithmic-based models that measure functional, technical factors and environmental factors for estimation. Regression-Based technique [32] uses a parametric model based on effort predictors and data points collected through an online questionnaire which are further used in the regression model. Delphi method [33] is based on experience to estimate the effort whereas Architecture Based estimation model [34] for reliability and testing estimation of the mobile application is proposed and the case study was conducted in two companies. Another algorithmic approach for estimating the cost of developing Android mobile apps are based on the COCOMO —I and II model [35]. Analogy-based estimation plus functional size measurement [36] approach is also proposed for mobile apps.
### 3.2. Agile techniques for estimating mobile application development: RQ2

Agile approach to mobile application development estimation has very less number of studies. One of the reasons could be the adoption of agile to mobile context is still in its evolving phase and many practitioners are adapting traditional estimation methodologies to an agile environment for mobile apps. The identified studies are listed in Table 5. Traditional use case point method of estimation is extended by adding efficiency and risk factor of testers in the agile team [37]. Another technique [38] is based on a stepwise linear regression model which estimates the effort for Android apps from requirements specification including a number of use cases, actors, etc. User story point [39] is refined by considering additional factors along with size and complexity. The quality factor, Novelty factor and Type factor of User Story are added to deliver the best estimate for mobile app development. Another approach [40] uses Early Use Case Point (EUCP) and Extended Use Case Point (EXUCP) along with COCOMO drivers at different iteration levels in agile mobile app development. An experience-driven approach using the Delphi technique [41] is used for effort estimation having a mobile app as one of the case studies.

### Table 5. Agile Estimation Techniques for mobile applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agile Estimation Techniques</th>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Approach Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Case Point</td>
<td>S16</td>
<td>Algorithmic-based models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step-wise Linear Regression</td>
<td>S17</td>
<td>Algorithmic-based models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User story Point</td>
<td>S18</td>
<td>Expert Judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Case Point+ COCOMO</td>
<td>S19</td>
<td>Algorithmic-based models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphi</td>
<td>S20</td>
<td>Expert Judgment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. Estimation Attributes and Accuracy Parameters for Mobile Apps: RQ3

The estimation attributes identified in the selected studies are mostly focused on size metric whether based on use case, function point and story point. Table 6 lists the other estimation attributes that are identified for estimation. Table 7 lists the parameters used to assess the accuracy of estimation of mobile applications. MMRE and Pred(x) are highly followed in most of the studies.

### Table 6. Estimation attributes for mobile applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimation Attributes</th>
<th>Study ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>S1,S2,S3,S4,S5,S6,S7,S10,S11,S13,S15,S16,S17,S18(user stories),S19,S21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>S8,S13,S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>S9(Score Metric), S12(Mean and SD of collected mobile apps variables), S14(architecture based), S20(mean effort based on experience)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Parameters for measuring the accuracy of estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy Parameters</th>
<th>Study ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRE(Magnitude of Relative Error)</td>
<td>S2,S3,S18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMRE(Mean Magnitude of Relative Error)</td>
<td>S2,S3,S12,S18,S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MdMRE(Median MRE)</td>
<td>S2,S3, S12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pred(percentage relative error deviation)</td>
<td>S2,S3, S12,S18,S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear Regression (R2)</td>
<td>S12,S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Defined</td>
<td>S1,S4,S6,S7,S98,S10,S13,S14,S20,S21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>S8(web-based survey), S11(Compared with actual effort), S15(Compared with actual effort), S16(Comparison with actual effort), S17(Comparison with source code as a software measure)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. CONCLUSION

This paper represents a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) concerning effort estimation techniques in mobile software/applications that are developed either using traditional software development or agile software development methodology. Initially, the search string produced a total of 354 results and only 23 papers are selected for primary studies. Two more papers are included after performing secondary search process but four papers are excluded due to low-quality scores in quality assessment criteria. The findings of this SLR are founded on 21 papers whose data are extracted into an excel sheet and then excavated to answer the RQs formed during the planning phase.

The traditional estimation techniques applied in mobile applications are COSMIC Function Size Measurement, Function Point Analysis, Delphi method, Use Case Point, Hybrid(Analogy based estimation plus Function Size Measurement), Regression-Based, Architecture Based and COCOMO – I and II.

The agile estimation techniques in the mobile domain are very few. The identified techniques are adaption or modification of traditional estimation techniques used in the agile environment to mobile software. The techniques are Use Case Point, Step-wise Linear Regression, User story Point with additional factors, Use Case Point plus COCOMO and Delphi technique. SLR also presented effort attributes and accuracy measures from each selected study used for assessing estimation accuracy.

From the current studies, it can be concluded that the adoption of agile software development in mobile domain is proliferating from last decade [42-43] and hence there is a dire need for formal estimation models for mobile software. The mobile software characteristics played a critical role during estimation in traditional software development [4-7], [44]. It is presented in SLR that none of the estimation models for agile mobile application development are cogitating specific characteristics of mobile apps. This research gap provides ideas for researchers to devise novel models for estimation of mobile apps in agile context or extend existing estimation approaches of estimation.
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