Analysis and design of directive antenna using frequency selective surface superstrate
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ABSTRACT

The design of directive antenna using Frequency Selective Surface (FSS) superstrate is proposed in this project. The suitable design FSS as superstrate layer is very important to enhance the high directivity and narrow bandwidth on the antenna. By using the FSS layer to design the superstrate layer, there are able to determine the reflection coefficient accordingly to the desired frequency.

1. INTRODUCTION

FSS is known as metamaterial superstrate. It is not an antenna; it is a filter that used in microwave and optics applications. The filtering response of FSS can be categorized into a low pass, a high pass, a bandpass, and a band stop filter [1] that depend on their physical structure, geometry, and material.

The application of FSS is used in satellite communication systems that need an antenna with the directivity comparable to parabolas using KU-band: 12-18GHz. Since parabolas are quite bulky and more complex structures for the design of three-dimensional directive antennas for microwave telecommunications applications. Therefore, FSS can be pairing by an antenna to pass the necessary signal and reject an unwanted signal. FSS superstrate had been applying to the wireless system such as Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) that operating between 2.45GHz (2.4 - 2.484GHz) and 5.2GHz (5.15 - 5.35GHz) and World Interoperability for Microwave Access (Wi-Max) was operated at 2.5GHz and 5.8GHz [2].

The directive antenna is an antenna that radiates or receives electromagnetic waves that equal to its directivity when transmitting. In electromagnetics, the parameter of directivity antenna is to measures the rate of radiation that emitted to the concentration to a single direction. In another word, an antenna's directivity is a component of its gain and also efficiency. It is an important to measure because many antennas are designed to radiate electromagnetic waves in a single direction or over a narrow-angle [3]. FSS structure is a good alternative to dielectric layers and high directive resonator antennas to ensure it in a compact size and easy to fabricate. In every single and multilayer, FSS has been proposed as superstrate layer over an antenna to enhance its directivity at the desired frequency [6]-[8].

The enhancement of antennas with dielectrics, resonators and periodic elements become attention of many researchers. These are because the antennas are easy to fabricate, low cost and light in weight.
The properties of antennas nowadays are to improve directivity, radiation pattern, and superstrate surface. In some application, the directive antenna is required for long-range transmission. Nevertheless, often directive antenna is bulky and need to fabricate mechanically. In the last few decades, the difficulties and a huge problem that had been faced with this design of the antenna are a mechanical fabrication and it not suitable for wireless system application due to the complex size of the helical antenna compared to operating wavelength. In addition, the dual frequency that had been produced was not necessary to design directive antenna [9].

Moreover, dielectric EBG antenna was commonly used as a superstrate layer. However, it is difficult to fabricate and commercialization because of the complex low-dielectric constant was required to support an EBG structure on the antenna. Compared with an array antenna, the researcher also utilized that 1-Dimension dual EBG resonator was designed for realizing the higher operating frequency and to provide large bandwidth radiated [10].

Therefore, the FSS was early introduced as a replacement of dielectric EBG to improve directivity of gain. There is much easier to fabricate and it has same transmission and reflection characteristics. In addition, the advantage of an FSS superstrate is a more compact and less thickness antenna composite compared to dielectric EBG counterpart.

2. DIRECTIVE ANTENNA USING FREQUENCY SELECTIVE SURFACES

The dimension of centered connector FSS allows an additional design parameter enables enhanced control of TE and TM responses without the need for external dielectric matching layer. These properties give the absorb/transmit FSS a clear advantage over conventional FSS. In order to obtain a good fundamental centered connector design the initial comprehensive parametric studies were carried out experimentally using CST Microwave Studio Suite simulation software. The calculation is done to determine the size of the element shape to use in designing an FSS. It is depending on the frequency and the thickness of the substrate. The calculation of parameter is shows following as [11]:

a) Calculation of width, $W$:

$$W = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{f (\varepsilon \mu)}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon + 1}}$$

$$W = \frac{c}{2 \sqrt{f (\varepsilon + 1)}},\frac{\varepsilon + 1}{2}$$

$W = 34.77\, \text{mm}$

b) Calculation of effective dielectric constant

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + 1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon + 1}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{h}{W}\right)^{-0.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = 4.78$$

c) Calculation of line extension

$$\Delta L = 0.42h \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} + 0.3}{h} \frac{W}{h} + 0.264\right) - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} - 0.258}{h} \frac{W}{h} + 0.8\right)$$

$$\Delta L = 0.76\, \text{mm}$$

d) Calculation of Length, $L$

$$L = \frac{1}{2f (\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu})} - 2\Delta L$$

$$L = 25.25\, \text{mm}$$

Where:
\[ c = \text{velocity of light in free space} \]
\[ \varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity of constant} \]
\[ \varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity of substrate} \]
\[ \mu_0 = \text{relative permeability of constant} \]
\[ h = \text{thickness of substrate} \]
\[ f_0 = \text{frequency at free space} \]
\[ \tan \delta = \text{loss tangent} \]

e) Calculation of Size FSS

\[ \lambda = \frac{c}{f} = \frac{3 \times 10^8 \text{ms}^{-1}}{2.7 \text{GHz}} = 111 \text{mm} \]

Size FSS = \( \frac{\text{Length}}{\lambda} = \frac{25.25 \text{mm}}{111 \text{mm}} = 227.48 \text{mm} \)

Where: \( \lambda \) = wavelength
C= \((3 \times 10^8)\) Speed of light
f = frequency, Hz

3. DEVELOPMENT OF FREQUENCY SELECTIVE SURFACE

3.1. Design Of Directive Antenna Without FSS

Designing directive antenna by microstrip antenna technique. Microstrip antenna has the advantage of light weight, easier, and familiar with all kinds of carrier aircraft and satellite [15]. The material of the patch is copper which is ductile, have high temperature ability and good electrical conductivity. Besides, operation frequency is 2.68 GHz, while dielectric constant substrate (FR-4) which \( \varepsilon_r=4.3 \). The Figure 1 is shown the design directive antenna microstrip, while the Table 1 is shown listed of parameter for directive antenna design.

![Figure 1. The design of directive antenna by microstrip antenna](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of substrate</td>
<td>Ht</td>
<td>1.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of substrate</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of substrate</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of conducting element</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.035 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedline</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch antenna dimension</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>24 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of the feedline</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Gap 2</td>
<td>G_2</td>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Gap 1</td>
<td>G_1</td>
<td>40 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The List of Parameters of Directive Antenna Design

3.2. Design Frequency Selective Surface (FSS)

The centered connector FSS design is propose by reasoning the by bandstop characteristics. The modification to this length changes the frequency response characteristic of FSS, allowing a better S11 response to be accomplished, in order to improve the gain and also directivity of the directive antenna; the structure is modified by tapering the centered connector shape element as the superstrate of FSS. The Figure 2 is shown the design of FSS element shape. By creating a 2D structure, it proposed that FSS will provide better transmission for a narrow range of bandwidth. Thus, Table 2 is shown the transmittance from the centered connector FSS to improve that the return loss is less -10dB at center frequency which is 2.68 GHz surfaces.
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Table 2. The List of Parameters of FSS Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of substrate</td>
<td>Ht</td>
<td>1.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of substrate</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of substrate</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of conducting element</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.035 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of FSS (a)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of FSS (d)</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of FSS (b)</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of FSS (c)</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Antenna Design With Frequency Selective Surface (FSS)

The microstrip patch antenna needs the FSS to overcome its weakness which is to improve directivity. A reflector FSS will react as a bandstop filter which is not allow frequency at specific bandwidths designated for the FSS to pass through the plane. The directivity and gain depend on the reflection from the FFS as well as the distance of the patch from the ground plane. The Figure 3 is shown the combined and simulated design of directive antenna using FSS superstrate. These design have been created and simulated by using CST Microwave Suite software.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The directive antenna had been design and simulate as shown as Figure 1 by using CST Microwave Suite software. From the simulation, the return loss or reflection coefficient, S11 is equal to -12.35dB at operating frequency 2.67 GHz. The realized gain is 0.61dB, gain (IEEE) is 0.88dB, and directivity is 6.17dB. The Figure 4, Figure 5(a), (b) and Figure 6(a), (b) are shown the graph/illustration of S-parameter, 3-Dimension farfield, polar E-field and H-field of simulated directive antenna.

Figure 1. The graph of S-parameter which is return loss, S11 at 2.67 GHz
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Figure 2. The illustration of 3-Dimension farfield at 2.67 GHz with (a) directivity = 6.17 dB and (b) Gain(IEEE) = 0.88 dB

Figure 3. The illustration of polar farfield at 2.67 GHz with (a) E-field and (b) H-field

The directive antenna had been designed and simulated as shown in Figure 1 using CST Microwave Suite software. From the simulation, the return loss or reflection coefficient, S11 is equal to -15.49 dB at operating frequency 2.68 GHz. The realized gain is 2.36 dB, gain (IEEE) is 2.84 dB, and directivity is 6.57 dB. The Figure 7, Figure 8(a), (b) and Figure 9(a) and (b) are shown the graph/illustration of S-parameter, 3-Dimension far field and polar E-field and H-field of simulated directive antenna using FSS.

Figure 4. The graph of S-parameter which is return loss, S11 at 2.68 GHz
Figure 5. The Illustration of 3-Dimension Farfield at $f_{\text{operating}}=2.68$ GHz with (a) Directivity=6.57 dB and (b) Gain(IEEE)=2.48 dB

Figure 6. The illustration of polar farfield at $f_{\text{operating}}=2.67$ GHz with (a) E-field and (b) H-field

The performance of proposed directive antenna combined with FSS superstrate element has been compared with reference directive antenna which is microstrip in terms of return loss, gain and directivity. It has been observed that proposed directive antenna using FSS superstrate have better results as compared to reference antenna and configuration. The performance of proposed directive antenna using FSS superstrate designs and reference antenna has been compared in the Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison result between Directive Antenna & FSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Directive antenna without FSS</th>
<th>Directive antenna with FSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return loss, $S_{11}$</td>
<td>-12.35 dB</td>
<td>-15.49 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directivity</td>
<td>6.17 dB</td>
<td>6.57 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain, IEEE</td>
<td>0.88 dB</td>
<td>2.48 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized Gain</td>
<td>0.61 dB</td>
<td>2.36 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this cases, the FSS plane cover all the wave radiated with proposed of low losses during the transmission. The main role of the FSS is either to be a filter or a reflector. If the antenna act as a filter, it will assist to optimize the wave while a reflector FSS will not allow frequency at specific bandwidths designated for the FSS to pass through the planer. While, the microstrip directive antenna needs the FSS to overcome its weakness to improve the return loss, higher gain and directivity. It likewise helps to maintain and optimize the beam of the microstrip directive antenna.

4.1. Fabrication Design and Measurement Result

The fabrication and measurement had been done for directive antenna with Frequency Selective Surface. Shown in Figure 9 is the fabricated antenna. Table 4 shows the comparison between simulation and measurement result of the directive antenna with the FSS. The purpose of measurement is to ensure that the
result of the simulation can be proof. Based on the simulated result, the operating frequency is 2.68GHz, return loss is -15.51dBi, VSWR is 1.402 and reflection coefficient is 0.168. While the measured result that had been obtained is are operating frequency is 2.76GHz, the return loss equal to -15.27dBi, VSWR is 1.417 and reflection coefficient is 0.172. Next, Figure 10 shows the result of S11-parameter that had been displayed on the Network Analyzer.

5. CONCLUSION
The scope of the project was to observe new method with parametric studies to get the best performing of directive antenna using FSS. There are a few essential parameters in designing FSS to meet specification such as unit cell of FSS. The Figure 3 is shown illustration how the directive antenna combined with FSS superstrate. The results show that compared with reference antenna, the directivity of the antenna loading FSS as its superstrate layer is enhanced from 6.17dB to 6.57dB and gain has improved from 0.88dB to 2.48dB. However, the bandwidth is not affected.
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