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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a study on a new full bridge series resonant converter (SRC) with wide zero voltage switching (ZVS) range, and higher output voltage. The high frequency transformer is connected in series with the LC series resonant tank. The tank inductance is therefore increased; all switches having the ability to turn on at ZVS, with lower switching frequency than the LC tank resonant frequency. Moreover, the step-up high frequency (HF) transformer design steps are introduced in order to increase the output voltage to overcome the gain limitation of the conventional SRC. Compared to the conventional SRC, the proposed converter has higher energy conversion, able to increase the ZVS range by 36%, and provide much higher output power. Finally, the laboratory prototypes of the both converters with the same resonant tank parameters and input voltage are examined based on 1 and 2.2 kW power respectively, for verifying the reliability of the performance and the operation principles of both converters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Resonant converters have been extensively studied since the 80s [1]. They provide the possibility to achieve low switching losses and allow switches to control resonance at high switching frequency. However, increasing the operating frequency will increase the switching losses and decrease the system efficiency. An answer to this problem is to replace the "Chopper" switch of a standard topology by a "resonant" switch, which uses the resonances of circuit capacitances and inductances to shape the waveform, which then shapes the current or voltage through the switching element. Therefore, when switching takes place, there is no current or the voltage flowing through it. A zero current switching (ZCS) characterizes the current waveform, while a zero voltage switching circuit (ZVS) characterizes the voltage waveform. The resonant converter not only achieves soft switching at turn on and off, but also has less switching losses compared to PWM hard switching converter. For resonant converter, the soft switching method depends on the ratio of the normalized frequency. If the normalized frequency F is higher than unity (1), the performance of the converter will be under ZVS condition. Meanwhile, if it is less than unity, the performance of the converter will be under ZCS condition [2]. Furthermore, a high switching frequency is required in such converters to cut down the size and weight of the converter. At high frequencies, the converter has the ability to achieve soft-switching which is used to reduce the switching losses. However, this will cause high electromagnetic interference di/dt and dv/dt, which still can affect the system efficiency [3]-[7]. Series resonant converter
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2.1. Series Resonant Converter Characteristics

In SRC, the tank is linked in series with the rectifier-load network. The load and resonant tank function as a voltage divider. The impedance of resonant tank will be changed by altering the frequency of driving voltage to the resonant network, which as depicted in Figure 2. The input voltage is split between this impedance and the effective resistance [11]. The DC gain of SRC is always lower than unity, because it works as a voltage divider. The gain can be calculated by using the gain equation $M$, Equation 4. At light-load condition, if the load resistance is too large compared to the impedance of the resonant network $Z_0$, all the input voltages will be imposed on the load. This makes it difficult to modulate the output at light load.

\[ M = \frac{v_o}{v_{in}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega_0^2}{\delta^2 (1-F)^2}}} \]  

(4)

The modes of operations of the SRC can be divided into three categories based on switching frequency, conduction mode, and proficiency of the soft switching. Consequently, for the load parameters and command for the ranges, the SRC has an uncountable number of modes of operations. These modes and the boundaries between each of them have been explained and studied in detail in [29]. The conduction modes are grouped into two areas of discontinuous conduction mode (DCM) and two ranges of the continuous conduction mode (CCM and CCM1) based on the normalized frequency as summarized in Table 1. In summary, in order to design converter to work under ZVS, the resonant tank should be an inductive response, which means that, the switching frequency should be above the tank frequency [30].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conduction mode</th>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Switch transition</th>
<th>ZCS</th>
<th>ZVS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>$0 \leq F \geq 0.5$</td>
<td>Turn-on</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Turn-off</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM</td>
<td>$0.5 \leq F \geq 1$</td>
<td>Turn-on</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Turn-off</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM1</td>
<td>$F \geq 1$</td>
<td>Turn-on</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Turn-off</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The gain characteristics of the SRC, for the range $0 \leq F \geq 2$
The gain curves and the soft switching boundaries are shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that, in case of operating above resonance, increasing the switching frequency will cause reduction in output voltage. The output voltage can be increased by decreasing the Q, which will increase the load resistance. At low value of Q less than 0.785, the converter will gain unity before resonant frequency. Therefore, the converter will begin to operate under DCM, while maintaining the output potential of the same value at each frequency. To avoid this problem, the Q value should be sufficient to hold the high output voltage by small alterations in the frequency and to utilize zero-voltage switching.

2.2. Operating Above Resonance

Under steady state, the full bridge SRC switching above resonant has four operating modes. In one complete cycle, each mode is taken and explained individually in this section. The initial values of each mode can be calculated at the last point from the previous mode. The voltage and current waveforms of the operation modes are plotted in Figure 4.

![Operational waveforms of full-bridge (SRC) working above resonant](image_url)

Figure 4. Operational waveforms of full-bridge (SRC) working above resonant

2.2.1. Mode I: (between $\omega_0 t_0$ and $\omega_0 t_1$)

When the freewheeling diodes of the switches Q1 and Q4 are turned on, part of the tank energy feeds the load and the rest is delivered back to the source; thus resonant current $i_{lr}$ dies down at $\omega_0 t_0$, and starts to reverse. Because the current changes its track from freewheeling diodes to the power switches Q1 and Q4, in this mode, the switches are turned on at zero voltage switching. The instantaneous resonant voltage and current can be evaluated by using:

$$I_{lr}(t) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \left[ V_{in} - V_o - V_{cr}(0) \right] \sin \omega_0 t$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$V_{cr}(t) = V_{in} - U_0 - \left[ V_{in} - V_o - V_{cr}(0) \right] \cos \omega_0 t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

2.2.2. Mode II: (between $\omega_0 t_1$ and $\omega_0 t_2$)

When the resonant capacitor voltage $V_{cr}$ crosses zero at the instant $\omega_0 t_1$, switches Q1 and Q4 are forced to turn off. Turn off losses exist because they are hard switching, but they can be eliminated by using small capacitors across the switches. The current starts to flow through the freewheeling diodes of the switches Q2 and Q3, and results in the power stage, which means that, the source feeds the tank, so the negative voltage across the tank forces the current to drop to zero at end of this mode. The voltage and current for this mode are given by the following equations:

$$I_{lr}(t) = \frac{1}{Z_0} \left[ -V_{in} - V_o - V_{cr}(1) \right] \sin \omega_0 (t - t_0) + I_{lr}(1) \cos \omega_0 (t - t_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$V_{cr}(t) = V_{cr}(1) \frac{I_{lr}(1)}{\omega_0} \sin \omega_0 (t - t_0) + \left[ -V_{in} - V_o - V_{cr}(1) \right] [1 - \cos \omega_0 (t - t_0)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
2.2.3. Mode III: (between $\omega_0 t_2$ and $\omega_0 t_3$)

The diodes of switches $Q_2$ and $Q_3$, will be opened circuit at beginning of this mode at $\omega_0 t_2$, and the resonant stage between the tank components will be achieved. As the current flows through the freewheeling diodes, switches $Q_2$ and $Q_3$ are turned on under zero voltage switching conditions. During this interval, the tank energy is imposed to the load, so the polarity of the output voltage seen by the tank changes with the resonant current. Meanwhile, the resonant capacitor voltage is shifted with respect of the current, where, it is at peak when the current is zero and clamped to zero expressed as follows:

$$I_{lr}(t) = \frac{1}{2\omega_0}(-V_{in} + V_o - V_{cr}(Z))\sin \omega_0(t - t_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$V_{cr}(t) = -V_{in} + V_0[-V_{in} + V_o - V_{cr}(Z)]\cos \omega_0(t - t_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

2.2.4. Mode IV: (between $\omega_0 t_3$ and $\omega_0 t_4$)

In this interval, the hard switching turn off is occurred for the switches $Q_2$ and $Q_3$ at $\omega_0 t_3$. Therefore, the current naturally will change its track to the freewheeling diodes of switches $Q_1$ and $Q_4$, and the resonant current will reach zero at $\omega_0 t_4$, which means, the first switching period ends, and the operation to the first mode is in the following subsequent cycle. The instantaneous resonant voltage and current for this mode can be estimated by using:

$$I_{lr}(t) = \frac{1}{2\omega_0}[V_{in} + V_o - V_{cr}(3)]\sin \omega_0(t - t_3) + I_{lr}(3)\cos \omega_0(t - t_3)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$U_{cr}(t) = V_{in} + V_o + I_{lr}(3)Z_o\sin \omega_0(t - t_3)[-V_{in} + V_o - V_{cr}(3)]\cos \omega_0(t - t_3)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

3. SERIES RESONANT CONVERTER WITH SERIES CONNECTED TRANSFORMER (LLC)

The concept of this converter does not have many difference compared to the converter as mentioned in the previous section. It can be considered as an improvement topology of the previous one as it eliminates some of the weaknesses of the SRCs, such as the limitation of the output voltage, and the limited switching frequency to achieve the ZVS. The SRC gain is always less than unity. The aim of this method is to use a step up high frequency transformer connected in series with the LC tank, as illustrated in Figure 5. This to meet the requirements of the converter to increase the output power and enhance the resonant inductance by the magnetizing inductee of the transformer, where, it will be involved in the resonant tank and will lead to increase in the zero voltage switching range.

![Figure 5. The configuration of the (SRC) with series connected transformer](image)

3.1. HF Transformer Design

In order to compare these converters and to prove the extension of the zero voltage switching range, the HF transformer must be designed to work at lower frequency than the resonant frequency. Moreover, for practical design, many requirements must be taken into account. For example, the primary winding of the transformer are connected in series with the resonant tank, so they have the same value of the current. The output voltage also equal to the secondary voltage of the HF transformer, so the primary voltage is the winding ratio to the output voltage. For this work, the primary voltage is the output voltage of the LC
The resonant tank. The operating frequency is 37.5 kHz and the selected transformer core is ETD 39. The maximum flux density (Bm) must be considered carefully to avoid saturation and under utilizing the core situations. Therefore, the maximum flux density must be in the range of 1300G to 2000G, which is acceptable for most transformer cores. Based on these design considerations, the number of required primary and secondary turns can be obtained by assuming the value of the flux destiny as in the following expression:

\[
N_{pri} = \frac{u_{pri}(nom)10^8}{4f_B\mu_m A_c} = \frac{20\times10^8}{4f_B1500+1.25} = 7 \text{ turns}
\]  

(13)

\[
N = 7 = \frac{u_o}{u_{pri}} = \frac{N_{sec}}{N_{pri}}, \quad N_{sec} = 49 \text{ turns}
\]  

(14)

3.2. Operating Principle

The topology of the SRC with series connected transformer schematic consists three main stages, as shown in Figure 5.

3.2.1. Control Switching Network (CSN)

In this stage, the switching network is represented in a full bridge inverter. The switches of the CSN work alternately, to produce a square wave of voltage \( V_{AB}(t) \) which is expressed by Fourier series in (15), to feed the resonant tank. Meanwhile, \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_3 \) are triggered simultaneously by 50% duty cycle for the first half cycle, then the other two switches, which are \( Q_2, Q_4 \), are triggered for the second half cycle. Small dead time must be introduced to avoid the signals interfaces and to allow the soft switching to occur.

\[
U_{AB}(t) = \frac{4v_{in}}{\pi} \sum_n \frac{1}{n} \sin(n\omega_R t) \quad \text{where } n = 1,3,5, \ldots
\]  

(15)

3.2.2. Resonant Tank Network (RTN)

In this topology, the resonant tank consists of resonant inductive \( L_r \), resonant capacitor \( C_r \) and the magnetizing inductance of the step up transformer, as shown in Figure 6. The tank allows only the sinusoidal current to follow through the RTN. Moreover, the resonant current or the primary current lags the square voltage that is applied to the RTN, as long the converter works under an inductive mode, to achieve the ZVS turns on for the switches.

3.2.3. Diode Rectifier Network with Low Pass Filter (DR_LPF)

The full bridge diode network is used to rectify the AC variables to produce the desired DC output voltage, and the filtering process of the resonant tank allows the use of the fundamental approximation to obtain the gain of the topology. Figure 6 describes the relation between the equivalent load resistance and the actual load resistance, and the average of the current \( I_{ac} \) is equal to the output current \( I_o \). The harmonic components of the resonant tank output voltage \( V_R(t) \) are not involved in the power transfer. Also, with considering the transformer turns ratio, the equivalent load resistance related to the primary side is obtained, as shown in Figure 6b.

\[
U_R(t) = \frac{4v_o}{\pi} \sum_n \frac{1}{n} \sin(n\omega_R t) \quad \text{where } n = 1,3,5, \ldots
\]  

(16)

Figure 6. a) The stages and the variables of the converter topology. b) AC equivalent circuit with series connected transformer
3.3. Circuit Characteristics

The voltage gain $M$ of this topology can be classified into three stages: the gain of the switched full-bridge, the gain of the resonant tank and the transformer turns ratio. The gain of the switched full-bridge and the transformer turns are fixed components, while the resonant tank is variable gain. Based on Figure 6-b, the voltage gain characteristics of tank can be represented as a function of normalized frequency ratio ($F = f_s / f_{r1}$), load quality factor ($Q$) and factor of related total primary inductance to the resonance inductance ($A_L$), which is considered as a fixed parameter as it does not change with the operation. Consequently, this tank has two resonant frequencies: the higher resonant frequency, $f_{r1}$ which is caused by the resonant elements $L_r$ and $C_r$, while the $f_{r2}$ is obtained by all the tank parameters as defined in Equation (19). In practice, the voltage gain can be determined by:

$$M = \frac{V_o}{V_{in}} = \frac{F^2 (A_L - 1)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{f_s^2}{f_{r2}^2}\right)^2 + (Q (F^2 - F))^2 (A_L - 1)^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

$$A_L = 2.5 = \frac{L_r + L_m}{L_r}, \quad L_m = 300 \mu H$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

$$f_{r1} = \frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L_r C_r}} = 42.5 kHz, \quad f_{r2} \approx 26.9 kHz$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

As one can observe from the gain curves for different $Q$ values, i) in case of operation above resonance ($f_s > f_{r1}$), the converter seems to behave as the conventional series resonant converter. Since the gain is less than unity for the entire region, and it decreased as the operating frequency gets apart from resonant frequency. Therefore, this region is defined as an inductive region, and only ZVS will be achieved. Meanwhile, ii) in case of operation at resonance ($f_s = f_{r1}$), it is obvious from Figure 7, that the gain is unity for the all $Q$ values, which means, operating at resonant frequency is not effect by load variation and the output voltage will remain the same with the input voltage. Furthermore, operation below resonance can be divided into two regions: iii-a) the region between resonant frequencies ($f_{r2} < f_s < f_{r1}$), where, in this region, the gain is higher than 1 and the peak gain depends on the $Q$ value. Meanwhile, for low Q values (light loads), the gain could reach the maximum point where we can obtain the minimum load factor $Q_{min}$. Unlike, for high Q values (heavy loads) where we are able to obtain the maximum load factor $Q_{max}$. Based on our design parameters at switching frequency of 37.5 kHz and $A_L=3$, the load factor is in range of (1-3), in order to achieve the maximum voltage gain equal to 1.25. iii-b) for the region with switching frequency lower than second resonant frequency ($f_s < f_{r2}$). The gain for all $Q$ values starts to drop to zero as soon as the switching frequency decreases. Moreover, in this region, the period of switching frequency is much lower than resonant period. Therefore, the resonant cycle is not able to finish for this reason the discontinuous mode will be carried out.
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this study, two experimental prototypes of the conventional SRC and the proposed converter had been tested to verify the performance and the extended range of ZVS. The first circuit was tested and imposed with different switching frequencies to investigate the ZVS range. Meanwhile, the proposed converter was tested by using the same parameters and switching frequency that was lower than resonant frequency in order to certify the theoretical parts as derived in the previous section. The converters were implemented with the following specifications: \( V_{in} = 180 \) V, resonant capacitor = 70 nF with resonant frequency = 42.5 kHz, output capacitor \( C_f = 4 \) uf, and the selected load factor \( Q = 2.4 \). Power switch IGBTs TQ-247AC was selected based on their advantages of fast switching and application capability. The circuit shown in Figure 1 was tested and imposed with different switching frequencies to investigate the reliability of the ZVS range. Microcontroller eZdspTM F28335 was used to implement the gate pulses from software to gate drives. The gate signals had been validated with 50 kHz switching frequency before being sent to the switches as shown in Figure 8. Signals 1 and 3 worked simultaneously for the first half cycle. Then, the other two signals, 2 and 4 worked for the second half of switching cycle. A dead time was ensured with a value of 0.5\( \mu \)s, to avoid the signals interface and to offer a matter of time to allow ZVS to occur.

![Figure 8. Gate driver signals at 50 kHz switching frequency](image)

Figure 8. Gate driver signals at 50 kHz switching frequency

Figure 9 shows the LC tank waveforms. The full bridge switching generates square wave voltage \( V_{AB} \) that excited the resonant tank and created the sinusoidal wave forms. It was obvious that the resonant current started by a negative polarity at each half cycle, which allowed the ZVS to be achieved for the switching frequency higher than resonant frequency. Meanwhile, at lower switching frequency the ZCS was achieved. At \( f_s = 37.5 \) kHz, the switching period was much lower than resonant period. Therefore, the resonant waveforms were not able to finish their cycle, due to the DCM condition.

![Figure 9. Measured waveformes of the resonant tank input voltage \( V_{AB} \), resonant inductor current \( i_L \) and resonant capacitor voltage \( V_C \) of conventional SRC at \( V_{in} = 180 \) and (a) \( f_s = 50 \) kHz, (b) \( f_s = 45 \) kHz](image)

Figure 9. Measured waveformes of the resonant tank input voltage \( V_{AB} \), resonant inductor current \( i_L \) and resonant capacitor voltage \( V_C \) of conventional SRC at \( V_{in} = 180 \) and (a) \( f_s = 50 \) kHz, (b) \( f_s = 45 \) kHz
Figure 9. Measured waveformes of the resonant tank input voltage V_AB, resonant inductor current i_Lr and resonant capacitor voltage V_cr of conventional SRC at Vin=180 and (c) f_s=42.5 kHz, (d) f_s=40 kHz, and (e) f_s=37.5 kHz.

Figure 10 shows the gate voltage and collector voltage of all switches at 50 kHz, 45 kHz, and 42.5 kHz switching frequency conditions. The collector voltage V_{CE} dropped to zero, before the switches were turned on. Thus, all the switches were turned on at ZVS, similar at 40 kHz, and 37.5 kHz conditions. The switches were turned off before the collector voltage was risen to the input voltage value. Therefore, all the switches were turned off at ZCS.

The experimental results based on the SRC with series connected transformer with the same circuit parameters of the conventional SRC was provided to verify the theoretical and the design parts. The measured waveforms of the resonant tank which were; the tank input voltage V_{AB}, the resonant inductor current (the primary side current), and the resonant capacitor voltage, are shown in Figure 11(a). Meanwhile, the secondary voltage and current are illustrated in Figure 11(b). It was obvious that, the primary side had the same shapes of the conventional SRC with small changes in the resonant current and voltage values, and more stress was noticed especially in the secondary waveforms, because of the magnetizing inductance of the transformer. Moreover, compared this prototype to the conventional SRC under the same switching frequency, it is clear that the proposed converter can provide more energy conversion and higher output voltage than the input, and it works under CCM. Figure 12 shows the prove of the possibility of increasing the ZVS range, where all the switches were turned on at ZVS. Therefore, the SRC with series connected transformer seems provide a better choice as it can solve some of the conventional SRC weaknesses.
Figure 10. Measured waveformes of the gate voltage and collector voltage of all switches at Vin=180 V and (a) fs=50 kHz, (b) fs=45 kHz, (c) fs=42.5 kHz, (d) fs=40 kHz , and (e) fs=37.5 kHz .
Figure 11. Measured results of the SRC with series connected transformer with $V_{\text{in}}=180$, $f_s=37.5$ kHz and $Q=2.4$ (a) resonant tank waveforms; resonant tank input voltage $V_{\text{AB}}$, resonant inductor current $i_L$, and resonant capacitor voltage $V_{\text{cr}}$. (b) the secondary side voltage and current waveforms.

Figure 12. Measured waveforms of the gate voltage and collector voltage of all switches of the SRC with series connected transformer at $V_{\text{in}}=180$ V and $f_s=37.5$ kHz.

Figure 13. Measured waveform of the output.

Figure 14. Measured converter output power at different switching frequencies.

The rectified output voltage is given in the plot of Figure 13. The magnitude of output voltage was higher than the input, as explained in theoretical part. The SRC with step-up transformer was able to overcome the gain limitation. The voltage could reach to 1.25 times of the input voltage with lower switching frequency and load factor less than 1. The output power with different switching frequencies for both converters are shown in Figure 14. The maximum output power was obtained when the switching frequency was close to the resonant frequency, and decreased as the switching frequency became much higher or lower.
than resonant frequency. Meanwhile, for the SRC with series step-up connected transformer, the output power was higher than the conventional under all switching frequencies and it increased as the switching frequency decreased.

5. CONCLUSION

For conventional SRC, the ZVS turn on for all switches can be only achieved if the switching frequency is higher than resonant frequency, in which the gain is lower than unity. The performance of the SRC with series connected transformer has been compared to the conventional SRC under the same tank parameters and input voltage. The HF step-up transformer has been designed to enhance the resonant tank inductivity and to increase the output voltage. The ZVS range has been successfully extended by 36%, tested and proven its reliability with switching frequency of 37.5 kHz which means extended by 12% (extended from 42.5 kHz to 37.5 kHz) compared to the conventional SRC. Moreover, the energy transferred from the input to the output has been significantly increased. On top of that, the output voltage is 25% higher than the input voltage. Meanwhile, the current and voltage stress are slightly higher because of minimizing the switching frequency. Based on these improvements, the series resonant converter with transformer can provide much better results and eliminate the limitations of the conventional SRC. Finally in order to verify the reliability of the performance and the operation principles of the proposed converter, the experiment prototypes of the conventional and the proposed SRC are provided with power rating of 1000 W and 2200 W respectively.
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