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ABSTRACT

The H.264/AVC standard achieves remarkable higher compression performance than the previous MPEG and H.26X standards. One of the computationally intensive units in the MPEG and H.26X video coding families is the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). In this paper, we propose an efficient implementation of the DCT, inverse DCTs and the Hadamard transforms in the H.264/AVC encoder using VHDL. The synthesis results indicate that our implementation of the entire transforms achieves lower power, delay and area consumption compared to the existing architectures in the H.264/AVC encoder.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compression is the process of reducing the size of the data sent, thereby, reducing the bandwidth required for the digital representation of a signal. Many inexpensive video and audio applications are made possible by the compression of signals. Compression technology can result in reduced transmission time due to less data being transmitted. It also decreases the storage requirements because there is less data. However, signal quality, implementation complexity, and the introduction of communication delay are potential negative factors that should be considered when choosing compression technology [3]. The H.264/AVC standard [1] achieves remarkable higher compression performance than the previous MPEG and H.26X standards. The higher performance in H.264/AVC is due to various modifications in different coding stages and most of these modifications impose high computational load to the H.264/AVC codec [5]-[7]. One of the computationally intensive units in the MPEG and H.26X video coding families is the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). Hence, the architecture exploration of this unit is even attractive for the pre-H.264/AVC standards and there are proposals for hardware architectures to realize this unit from a long time ago [8] and it is still continuing [9]-[10]. The initial version of H.264/AVC standard supported only 4×4 integer DCT. The number of operations for computation of an 8×8 or 4×4 Integer DCT is not very high but since in high profiles these transforms should be applied to the entire 8×8 or 4×4 blocks in a frame, it will result in a huge computational load and makes the integer discrete cosine transform among main computationally intensive stages in the H.264 encoder. Consequently, the hardware implementation of the integer DCT transform attracted more attention and a number of solutions have been published for hardware implementation of
Integer DCT in the H.264/AVC standard [11]-[12]. In this paper, we proposed an efficient implementation of the entire transforms in the H.264/AVC Encoder using VHDL.

Since the encoding loop of the H.264/AVC standard requires carrying out all the forward and inverse transforms, the proposed implementation is a very powerful accelerator for the H.264/AVC encoder. The synthesis results indicate that our implementation of the DCT, inverse DCTs and the Hadamard transforms in the H.264/AVC encoder achieves lower power, delay and area consumption compared to the existing architectures in the H.264/AVC encoder.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide a brief overview of the transforms in the H.264/AVC standard and discuss the initial hardware implementation. The proposed implementation of the entire transforms in the H.264/AVC standard is explained in section 3. VHDL and synthesis results for the given architecture and comparison with the primal architecture are presented in section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. THE H.264/AVC STANDARD

In the H.264/AVC standard the forward and the inverse integer DCT are defined respectively in (1) and (2) as:

\[
Y = AXA^T \Rightarrow Y = C_f X C_f^T \otimes E_f \quad (1)
\]

\[
X = A^T Y A \Rightarrow X = C_i^T (Y \otimes E_i) C_i \quad (2)
\]

Where \( C_f \) and \( C_i \) are called ‘‘core’’ transforms [2]. The \( C_f \) and \( C_i \) matrices given in (3) indicate the ‘‘core’’ transform matrix of the forward and inverse 4×4 integer DCT in the H.264/AVC standard, respectively [zargari, malvar].

\[
C_f = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -2 & 2 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad C_i = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1/2 \\
1/2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1/2 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1/2 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (3)
\]

H.264 is unique that it employees this purely integer spatial transform as opposed to the usual floating point 8x8 DCT specified with rounding error tolerance as used in earlier standards [2]. The small shape helps to reduce the blocking and ringing artefacts, while the precise integer specification eliminates any mismatch between the encoder and decoder in the inverse transform. The multiplications by 1/2 in the inverse transform can be implemented by the sign preserving1-bit right shifts thus reducing the complexity. Hadamard transform is another 2D transform which is used in the H.264/AVC standard and its ‘‘core’’ transform matrix is:

\[
H_{4x4} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (4)
\]

Figure 1. Quick hardware for 4×4 Hadamard Transform condition  
Figure 2. Quick hardware for forward 4×4 Integer DCT
Since $H_{4\times4}^4 = H_{4\times4}^{-1}$, the hardware implementation given in Figure 1 can be used for both forward and inverse Hadamard transforms. Figure 2 shows an quick hardware realization for $4\times4$ forward integer transform using adders and shifters and Figure 3 indicates a quick hardware realization for $4\times4$ inverse integer transform [2].

![Figure 3. Quick hardware for inverse $4\times4$ Integer DCT](image)

3. **THE PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION**

This section introduces the proposed implementation of the $4\times4$ forward transform and $4\times4$ inverse transform adopted by the H.264 standard. 'Core' transform is a two dimensional transform, which can be decomposed into two one dimensional transforms. The first one dimensional transform is applied to the rows of the input pixels and the second one dimensional transform is applied to the columns of the one dimensional transform coefficients of the first stage. The proposed architecture uses $4\times4$ parallel input blocks; a block diagram of the architecture is shown in Figure 4. This block consists of four cascaded sub-blocks. The control unit is used to control add and shift operations. The register bank stores these outputs for the next four clock cycles.

![Figure 4. Architecture of core forward and inverse integer transforms.](image)

4. **VHDL AND SYNTHESIS RESULT**

VHDL simulation results for forward/inverse $4\times4$ Integer DCT and Hadamard transform are depicted in figure 5-10. After successful simulation of working of different blocks of H.264 encoder (Integer DCT, Inverse DCT, Hadamard transform), we then proceeded for synthesis of code on Xilinx.ISE.DESIGN.SUITE.v12.3 and Synopsis. And also we have compared our synthesis results with the performance of existing implementations [4]. Comparison shows that power consumption and delay are lower than other implementations. Also, the area of our chip is much smaller than others. Summary of the synthesis results are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3.
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Figure 5. Simulation Result of Quick DCT4*4 in VHDL

Figure 6. Simulation Result of Quick IDCT4*4 in VHDL

Figure 7. Simulation Result of Hadamard 4*4 in VHDL

Figure 8. DCT 4*4 Test in VHDL
Table 1. Synthesis Results of DCT 4*4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Power (mW)</th>
<th>Delay (ns)</th>
<th>Area (MicroM2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing DCT</td>
<td>4.1494</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>10812.413114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed DCT</td>
<td>3.2174</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>7269.419728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Synthesis Results of IDCT 4*4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Power (mW)</th>
<th>Delay (ns)</th>
<th>Area (MicroM2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing IDCT</td>
<td>7.0668</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>17278.790363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed IDCT</td>
<td>5.7302</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>12943.068996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Synthesis Results of Hadamard 4*4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Power (mW)</th>
<th>Delay (ns)</th>
<th>Area (MicroM2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Hadamard</td>
<td>3.1193</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>7223.282946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Hadamard</td>
<td>3.0934</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>7223.161031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Synthesis Result Comparison of Core Transform with Existing Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>Existing implementation [4]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of flip flops</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Slices</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. freq</td>
<td>193MHz</td>
<td>185MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an efficient implementation of the core processors of H.264 video encoder is proposed. The 4x4 integer transform used is significantly simpler and faster than the 8x8 DCT used in MPEG 2. This transform is a scaled integer approximation to the DCT, which allows computation of the direct or inverse transform with just additions and a minimal number of shifts, but no multiplications. Synthesis results indicate that our implementation, which realizes the entire transforms in the H.264/AVC standard, can process lower power, delay and area consumption compared to the existing architectures [4], which implement a number of the transforms in H.264/AVC. Also, comparison shows that our design achieved higher frequency.
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